

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/037,913	MEYERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After Final

 (1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) _____.

 (2) TIMOTHY P. O'HAGAN (39,319).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2006
Time: 10am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None


Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner inquired about the status of the case, applicant's representative informed examiner that applicant has elected to abandon the case
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JASON CARDONE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)